Conway's Basic Theorem

William Chuang

Introduction.

Equivalent Tangles Imply Equal Fractions

Equal Fractions Imply Equivalent Tangles

References

Conway's Basic Theorem on Rational Tangles

William Chuang

December 13, 2017

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Overview

Conway's Basic Theorem

William Chuang

Introduction.

Equivalent Tangles Imply Equal Fractions

Equal Fractions Imply Equivalent Tangles

References

Introduction.

2 Equivalent Tangles Imply Equal Fractions

3 Equal Fractions Imply Equivalent Tangles

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()



Conway's Basic Theorem

William Chuang

Introduction.

Equivalent Tangles Imply Equal Fractions

Equal Fractions Imply Equivalent Tangles

References

Tangle diagram

which is a diagram contained in a disk that consists of two strands whose four endpoints are fixed along the boundary of the disk.

A tangle diagram can be viewed as a subdiagram of a knot or link by thinking of the two strands in the tangle extend into a larger knot or link diagram outside of the disk. If we begin at one of the free ends emanating from the disk and walk along it, we will enter the disk, and eventually leave the disk to meet



▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

another end of strand.

Conway's Basic Theorem

William Chuang

Introduction.

Equivalent Tangles Imply Equal Fractions

Equal Fractions Imply Equivalent Tangles

References

Two tangle diagrams are equivalent

which provided that one can be continuously deformed into the other via planar isotopies and Reidemeister moves performed within the disk while keeping the four endpoints of the two strands fixed.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

The sim symbol: \sim denotes tangle equivalence.

Conway's Basic Theorem

William Chuang

Introduction.

Equivalent Tangles Imply Equal Fractions

Equal Fractions Imply Equivalent Tangles

References

Horizontal integer tangle t_a (Vertical integer tangle t'_a)

a twist of two strands |a| times in the positive or negative according to the signs of a.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Examples of tangles



William Chuang

Introduction.

Equivalent Tangles Impl Equal Fractions

Equal Fractions Imply Equivalen[:] Tangles

References



ヘロト ヘ週ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

Examples of tangles



William Chuang

Introduction.

Equivalent Tangles Imply Equal Fractions

Equal Fractions Imply Equivalen Tangles

References



・ロト ・ 一 ト ・ モト ・ モト

Examples of tangles



Equal Fractions

Equal Fractions Imply Equivalen[:] Tangles

References



・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

Definition. 2 basic operations of basic tangles.

Conway's Basic Theorem

William Chuang

Introduction.

Equivalent Tangles Imply Equal Fractions

Equal Fractions Imply Equivalent Tangles

References

Definition. A tangle is in standard form which is called a basic tangle if it is created by consecutive additions, (+), of simple tangles from the right and multiplications, * or (+'), by simple tangles from the bottom.

Basic Tangles in a recursive fashion

- basic horizontal tangles: $(((t_a + t'_b) + t_c) + t'_d) + \dots$
- basic vertical tangles: $(((t_a + t'_b) + t_c) + t'_d) + \dots$

Basic operations



William Chuang

Introduction.

Equivalent Tangles Imply Equal Fractions

Equal Fractions Imply Equivalent Tangles

References



(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) のQの

Basic operations



William Chuang

Introduction.

Equivalent Tangles Imply Equal Fractions

Equal Fractions Imply Equivalen[:] Tangles

References



3 operations to classify the basic tangles (1)



William Chuang

Introduction.

Equivalent Tangles Impl Equal Fractions

Equal Fractions Imply Equivalen[:] Tangles

References



▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

3 operations to classify the basic tangles (2)



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

3 operations to classify the basic tangles ()



William Chuang

Introduction.

Equivalent Tangles Imply Equal Fractions

Equal Fractions Imply Equivalen Tangles

References



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <



6 results derived from the 5 operations and the definition of basic tangles.

Conway's Basic Theorem

William Chuang

Introduction.

Equivalent Tangles Imply Equal Fractions

Equal Fractions Imply Equivalent Tangles

6

and $-t_{2}' = t_{-2}'$.

References

- *b* is a basic horizontal tangle $\Rightarrow \frac{1}{b}c$ is a basic vertical tangle.
- *b* is a basic vertical tangle $\Rightarrow \frac{1}{b}cc$ is a basic horizontal tangle.
- Is $t'_{a} = \frac{1}{t_{a}}c = \frac{1}{t_{a}}cc$ and $t_{a} = \frac{1}{t'_{a}}c = \frac{1}{t'_{a}}cc$ Is $t_{d} + t'_{e} = t_{d} + \frac{1}{t}$

$$T + t'_n = \frac{1}{t_n + \frac{1}{T}c}cc \Leftrightarrow T * \frac{1}{[n]} = \frac{1}{[n] + \frac{1}{T}}$$

any rational tangle can be built by a series of the following operations: Addition of [1] and Inversion.
● -(b+c) = (-b) + (-c), -(¹/_b) = ¹/_{-b}, -t_a = t_{-a},

Facts of basic tangles.

Conway's Basic Theorem

William Chuang

Introduction.

Equivalent Tangles Imply Equal Fractions

Equal Fractions Imply Equivalen Tangles

References

Example (by directly using 5 we can go into a form of continued fraction from basic tangles)

$$egin{aligned} t_3 &
ightarrow t_3 + t_2 \ &
ightarrow t_3
ightarrow rac{1}{t_2 + rac{1}{t_3}c}cc \end{aligned}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

New definitions of basic tangles.

Conway's Basic Theorem

William Chuang

Introduction.

Equivalent Tangles Imply Equal Fractions

Equal Fractions Imply Equivalent Tangles

References

Example

$$a_n + rac{1}{a_{n-1} + rac{1}{...+rac{1}{a_1}}} \sim t_{a_n} + rac{1}{t_{a_{n-1}} + rac{1}{...+rac{1}{t_{a_1}}}}$$

any basic horizontal tangle b can be written in the form on the right hand side.

Example

$$\frac{1}{a_n + \frac{1}{a_{n-1} + \frac{1}{\dots + \frac{1}{a_1}}}} \sim \frac{1}{t_{a_n} + \frac{1}{t_{a_{n-1}} + \frac{1}{\dots + \frac{1}{t_{a_1}}}}}$$

any basic vertical tangle b can be written in the form on the right hand side.

Conway's Basic Theorem

William Chuang

Introduction.

Equivalent Tangles Imply Equal Fractions

Equal Fractions Imply Equivalent Tangles

References

Fraction of tangles.

Denote it by $F(t_a) = a \in \mathbb{Q}$, and $F(t'_a) = F(\frac{1}{t_a}) = \frac{1}{a} = \frac{1}{F(t_a)} \in \mathbb{Q}$.

If b is a basic tangle, then by definitions, and the facts of basic tangles:

F(
$$\frac{1}{b}$$
) = $\frac{1}{b}$, independent of c or cc.
 $t_a + b$ is basic
 $\Rightarrow F(t_a + b) = F(t_a) + F(b) = a + F(b)$
F($-t_a$) = $F(t_{-a}) = -a = -F(t_a)$
F($-t'_a$) = $F(t'_{-a}) = F(\frac{1}{t_{-a}}) = \frac{-1}{a}$
 $= -F(\frac{1}{t_a}) = -F(t'_a)$
F($-b$) = $-F(b)$

Conway's Basic Theorem

William Chuang

Introduction.

Equivalent Tangles Imply Equal Fractions

Equal Fractions Imply Equivalent Tangles

References

Theorem (Conway's Basic Theorem)

Let T_1 and T_2 be the given basic tangles. $F(T_1) = F(T_2) \Rightarrow T_1$ is ambient isotopic to T_2 .

Recall:

$$\frac{p}{q} = a_n + \frac{1}{a_{n-1} + \frac{1}{\dots + \frac{1}{a_1}}}$$
 (v

(which is a regular continued fraction)

$$= F\left(t_{a_n} + \frac{1}{t_{a_{n-1}} + \frac{1}{\dots + \frac{1}{t_{a_1}}}}\right) := F(T) \in \mathbb{Q}$$

$$a_i > 0, \ i = \{1, 2, ..., n-1\}$$

To convert F(T) into the regular continued fraction.

Conway's Basic Theorem

William Chuang

Introduction.

Equivalent Tangles Imply Equal Fractions

Equal Fractions Imply Equivalent Tangles

References

The key is Lagrange's formula.

$$a - rac{1}{b} = (a - 1) + rac{1}{1 + rac{1}{(b - 1)}}$$

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

By repeatedly using this formula, a negative sign can only possibly exist in front of the first term.

Example.

Conway's Basic Theorem

William Chuang

Introduction.

Equivalent Tangles Imply Equal Fractions

Equal Fractions Imply Equivalen Tangles

References



・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Topological version of Lagrange's formula.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○□ のへで

Conversely...

Conway's Basic Theorem

William Chuang

Introduction.

Equivalent Tangles Imply Equal Fractions

Equal Fractions Imply Equivalent Tangles

References

Theorem (Conway's Basic Theorem)

Let T_1 and T_2 be the given basic tangles. If $T_1 \sim T_2$, then $F(T_1) = F(T_2)$.

Strategy: Define an invariant, say C(T), for arbitrary tangles T. And then try to make this satisfy the definition of regular fraction that

• C(T) = F(T), if T is rational basic tangles.

2 if
$$T \sim S$$
, then $C(T) = C(S)$

Let's develop this invariant C(T) based on the bracket model of the Jones polynomials.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <



э

Conway's Basic Theorem

William Chuang

Introduction.

Equivalent Tangles Imply Equal Fractions

Equal Fractions Imply Equivalent Tangles

References

To build the invariant, we specialize this polynomial **for any tangles** by taking $\delta = -A^2 - A^{-2}$ and $B = A^{-1}$. Hence for the second Reidemeister move we have



Conway's Basic Theorem

William Chuang

Introduction

Equivalent Tangles Impl Equal Fractions

Equal Fractions Imply Equivalent Tangles

References

Definition.

Let T be a given tangle. Then we have $< T >= \alpha(T) < || > +\beta(T) <=>$ $= \alpha(T) < [\infty] > +\beta(T) < [0] >,$ where $\alpha(T)$ and $\beta(T)$ are derived from (1) and (2) re-

peatedly until only the $[\infty]$ and [0] basic tangles are left.

Theorem.

 $\forall A, R_T(A) = \frac{\alpha(T)}{\beta(T)}$ is an ambient isotopic invariant of tangles. (Under the Reidemeister moves I, II and III.)

Conway's Basic Theorem

William Chuang

Introduction.

Equivalent Tangles Imply Equal Fractions

Equal Fractions Imply Equivalent Tangles

References

We now specialize our bracket polynomial again: Let

$$A = \sqrt{i}, i^2 = -1 \Rightarrow B = \frac{1}{\sqrt{i}}, \delta = -A^2 - A^{-2} = 0.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Hence < O >= 0

Conway's Basic Theorem

William Chuang

Introduction.

Equivalent Tangles Imply Equal Fractions

Equal Fractions Imply Equivalent Tangles

References

Definition.

 $C(T) = -iR_T(\sqrt{i})$

Claim.

$$C(T) := -iR_T(\sqrt{i}) = F(T)$$

Need to show: $C\left(\frac{1}{T}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{C(T)}\right)^* = \frac{\alpha(T)}{\beta(T)}$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Conway's Basic Theorem

William Chuang

Introduction

Equivalent Tangles Imply Equal Fractions

Equal Fractions Imply Equivalent Tangles

References

By definition
$$\langle T \rangle = \alpha(T) \langle [\infty] \rangle + \beta(T) \langle [0] \rangle$$
,
 $\Rightarrow \langle 1/T \rangle = \alpha(T)^* \langle [0] \rangle + \beta(T)^* \langle [\infty] \rangle$ where
 $1/[0] = [\infty]$, and $(1/\sqrt{i})^* = \sqrt{i}$. It follows that

$$C\left(\frac{1}{T}\right) = \frac{\beta(T)^*}{i\alpha(T)^*} = \frac{i^*\beta(T)^*}{\alpha(T)^*} = \left(\frac{1}{C(T)}\right)^*.$$

The values of C(T) on rational tangles are rational numbers, thus

$$C\left(\frac{1}{T}\right) = \frac{1}{C(T)}$$

Conway's Basic Theorem

William Chuang

Introduction.

Equivalent Tangles Imply Equal Fractions

Equal Fractions Imply Equivalent Tangles

References

Need to show: C(T + S) = C(T) + C(S).

Proposition.

$$< T >= a < [\infty] > +b < [0] >$$

 $< S >= c < [\infty] > +d < [0] >$

Then

$$< T + S >= (ad + bc) < [\infty] > +bd < [0] > .$$

Thus, $C(T + S) = C(T) + C(S)$ (since $\frac{ad + bc}{bd} = \frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d}$.)



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆豆▶ ◆豆▶ ̄豆 _ のへで

Reference

Conway's Basic Theorem

William Chuang

Introduction.

Equivalent Tangles Imply Equal Fractions

Equal Fractions Imply Equivalent Tangles

References

. R. Goldman, and L. H. Kauffman (1997) Rational Tangles ADVANCES IN APPLIED MATHEMATICS 18, 300 – 332.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへで

Conway's Basic Theorem

William Chuang

Introduction.

Equivalent Tangles Impl Equal Fractions

Equal Fractions Imply Equivalent Tangles

References

Thank You!

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Conway's Basic Theorem

William Chuang

Introduction.

Equivalent Tangles Imply Equal Fractions

Equal Fractions Imply Equivalent Tangles

References

Some fun stuffs after this slide, if we still have time.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Observation.

Conway's Basic Theorem

William Chuang

Introduction.

Equivalent Tangles Imply Equal Fractions

Equal Fractions Imply Equivalent Tangles

References

If we begin at one of the free ends emanating from the disk and walk along it, we will enter the disk, and eventually leave the disk to meet another end of strand.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

#(ends) = even.

A disk must have an even number of ends.

Theorems.

Conway's Basic Theorem

William Chuang

Introduction.

Equivalent Tangles Imply Equal Fractions

Equal Fractions Imply Equivalent Tangles

References

Theorem (Flip Theorem)

A 180 degree rotation of a rational tangle b in the horizontal or vertical axis is ambient isotopic to b.

Theorem

The c-inverse and cc-inverse of a rational tangle are ambient isotopic.

Theorem

Every rational tangle is isotopic to a basic tangle.